

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/517,450	DONATH, MARC	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	IAN DANG	1647	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) IAN DANG.

(3) Robert Landsman.

(2) Leonard Svensson.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 05 November 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant
2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 15-26.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The interview focused on possible amendments to overcome the rejections made under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, 35 USC 102(b), and 35 USC 103(a) in the office action mailed 05/14/2008.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.